

T estpassportQ&A



Bessere Qualität , bessere Dienstleistungen!

We offer free update service for one year
[Http://www.testpassport.ch](http://www.testpassport.ch)

Exam : NACE-CIP3-001

**Title : Senior Certified Coatings
Inspector Peer Review Oral
Exam**

Version : DEMO

1. [The Scenario]

You are the Lead Level 3 Inspector on a coastal bridge rehabilitation project. The contractor is preparing to abrasive blast a massive steel girder. The specification strictly mandates a 5°F (3°C) minimum differential between the steel surface temperature and the dew point. Using a calibrated digital psychrometer and a surface temperature probe, you determine the ambient air temperature is 75°F (24°C), the relative humidity is 85%, and the calculated dew point is 70.3°F (21.3°C). The steel surface temperature measures 72°F (22.2°C). The contractor's superintendent argues, "The steel is visibly bone dry, there is no condensation, and it's technically above the dew point. We are starting the blast pots now."

How do you handle this, and what is your authoritative technical justification?

Answer:

- **Halt the Operation:** Immediately issue a stop-work order for the blasting operation.
- **Enforce the Standard:** State firmly that the differential between the steel temperature (72°F) and the dew point (70.3°F) is only 1.7°F. This is a direct violation of the universal industry standard and project specification, which strictly requires a minimum 5°F (3°C) safety margin.
- **Explain the Failure Mechanism:** Explain that "visibly dry" is irrelevant. As the abrasive blast removes the old coating and creates a new, highly reactive anchor profile, the microscopic peaks and valleys act as heat sinks. With a margin this narrow, micro-condensation will instantly form inside the profile the moment the blast nozzle moves away.
- **Identify the Consequence:** This invisible condensation will cause rapid, microscopic flash rusting within the valleys of the profile, leading to catastrophic adhesive failure of the primer.
- **Mandate Mitigation:** Demand the contractor utilize environmental controls (e.g., dehumidification to lower the dew point, or heating the steel) until the 5°F (3°C) margin is consistently achieved.

[Pressure Test Follow-up]

Let's say a Level 1 inspector used a traditional sling psychrometer instead of a digital one.

If they accidentally forgot to wet the cotton wick on the wet-bulb thermometer before whirling it, what exact mathematical error would occur regarding the Relative Humidity and Dew Point calculations?

If the wick is dry, there is no evaporative cooling. The wet-bulb thermometer will read the exact same temperature as the dry-bulb thermometer. Mathematically, this false parity will result in a calculated Relative Humidity of 100%, and the Dew Point will falsely equal the ambient air temperature.

2. [The Scenario]

You are inspecting a hot carbon steel vessel (surface temperature is 110°F / 43°C) that has just been abrasive blasted to SSPC-SP 10. The specification requires testing for soluble salts using the Bresle patch method (ISO 8502-6), with a maximum allowable limit of 30 mg/m². You observe the QC technician adhere the patch, inject 3 ml of deionized water, massage the patch for merely 10 seconds, and immediately extract the fluid. The technician tests the fluid with a conductivity meter, gets a calculated reading of 28 mg/m², and happily signs off that the surface passes the specification. As the Level 3, what is your assessment of this procedure?

Answer:

- **Reject the Test Result:** Completely invalidate the test and refuse to sign off.
- **Standard Violation:** Point out that **ISO 8502-6** requires a sufficient extraction time (typically several minutes depending on the specific procedural variant) combined with thorough massaging to allow the deionized water to properly dissolve the salts.

- **The Physics of the Profile:** Soluble salts (chlorides/sulfates) do not just sit on top of the steel; they are driven deep into the microscopic crevices and valleys of the anchor profile by the abrasive impact.
- **Identify the False Negative:** A 10-second extraction is grossly inadequate to pull those deep-seated salts into the solution, regardless of how hot the steel is. The reading of 28 mg/m² is a dangerous "false negative." The actual salt concentration on the surface is likely much higher and failing the specification.
- **Required Action:** The patch must be discarded, the area cleaned, and a new test performed on an adjacent area using the proper extraction time.

[Pressure Test Follow-up]

If the technician's digital conductivity meter did NOT have an ATC (Automatic Temperature Compensation) feature, how would the high temperature of the extracted water physically affect the conductivity reading on the screen, and why?"

High temperatures significantly increase the mobility of ions in the solution. Without an ATC feature to mathematically normalize the reading back to a standard baseline of 25°C (77°F), the hot extracted water will cause the meter to display a falsely elevated (higher) conductivity reading.

3. [The Scenario]

A contractor is hired to apply a 125-mil (3.1 mm) 100% solids epoxy novolac lining to a newly poured concrete secondary containment trench. The specification requires the concrete to have an ICRI Concrete Surface Profile (CSP) of 3 to 5. To save time and avoid dust, the contractor submits a formal request to use a concentrated muriatic acid etching procedure instead of the specified abrasive shot-blasting. Furthermore, they state they will prove the concrete is dry enough by running an ASTM D4263 Plastic Sheet Test for 4 hours prior to application. As the Level 3 Consultant, respond to these proposals.

Answer:

- **Reject the Acid Etching:** Formally deny the request to substitute mechanical prep with acid etching.
- **Address the Profile Limitation:** Explain that acid etching primarily attacks the cement paste and typically only yields a very light, micro-porous profile, equivalent to **ICRI CSP 1 or 2**.
- **Relate to Coating Physics:** A thick-film, 100% solids epoxy requires a deep, aggressive mechanical anchor (CSP 3-5) to mitigate massive internal shrinkage stresses during curing and to prevent cohesive/adhesive failure. Acid etching cannot provide this.
- **Invalidate the Moisture Test Protocol:** Reject the proposed moisture testing plan. **ASTM D4263** strictly dictates the plastic sheet must remain sealed to the concrete for a minimum of **16 hours**, making a 4-hour test completely invalid.
- **Demand Quantitative Testing:** Emphasize that ASTM D4263 is only a qualitative test for surface capillary moisture. Highly impermeable linings (100% solids) require quantitative internal moisture testing. Mandate either **ASTM F2170** (In-Situ Relative Humidity Probes) or **ASTM F1869** (Calcium Chloride / MVER).

[Pressure Test Follow-up]

If the contractor did perform the ASTM F2170 test correctly, and the internal relative humidity of the concrete was 92% (well above the epoxy manufacturer's limit of 75%), but the facility owner refuses to delay the project for the concrete to dry naturally, what engineering solution can you propose?

You must mandate the application of a specialized Moisture Vapor Reduction (MVR) primer or a surface-tolerant, moisture-mitigating epoxy formulated and tested to suppress high Moisture Vapor Emission Rates (MVER) prior to applying the impermeable novolac lining.

4. [The Scenario]

A contractor has applied a two-component polyamide epoxy primer to the exterior of a steel water tank during the late afternoon. Overnight, a cold front moves in, dropping the ambient temperature significantly, and heavy condensation forms on the steel surface. The next morning, the contractor informs you the primer is ready for the polyurethane topcoat. Upon your tactile and visual inspection, you notice the epoxy feels slightly sticky, and there is a greasy, cloudy, whitish film covering the entire surface. The contractor plans to wipe the surface down with Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK) and immediately spray the topcoat. As the Level 3 Inspector, what is your diagnosis, and how do you respond to the contractor's remediation plan?

Answer:

- **Diagnose the Phenomenon:** Identify the greasy, whitish film specifically as **Amine Blush** (or amine exudate).
- **Explain the Chemistry:** Explain that amine-cured epoxies, when exposed to low temperatures and high humidity (or condensation) during the curing process, experience a chemical reaction where the unreacted amine curing agent migrates to the surface and reacts with moisture and carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the air.
- **Identify the Consequence:** Amine blush acts as a severe bond-breaker. If top-coated, it will cause catastrophic intercoat adhesive failure (delamination) of the subsequent layers.
- **Reject the MEK Plan:** Strictly forbid the use of MEK or other organic solvents. Solvents do NOT dissolve amine blush; they merely spread it around the surface and drive it deeper into the anchor profile.
- **Mandate Correct Remediation:** Amine blush is water-soluble. Instruct the contractor to wash the surface thoroughly with warm water and stiff bristle brushes (or via pressure washing), dry the surface completely, and verify the blush is entirely removed before any topcoat application is authorized.

[Pressure Test Follow-up]

If the coating specification called for a polyamine epoxy instead of a polyamide, would the risk of amine blush in this exact cold-weather scenario be higher, lower, or exactly the same? Explain the chemical reasoning.

The risk would be higher. Polyamine curing agents generally have lower molecular weights and are more highly reactive than polyamides. This makes them significantly more susceptible to exudation (migrating to the surface) and reacting with atmospheric moisture and CO₂ to form an amine blush in cold, damp conditions.

5. [The Scenario]

You are assigned to oversee the application of a solvent-borne Inorganic Zinc (IOZ) primer in a desert fabrication yard. The ambient temperature is 95°F (35°C), and the relative humidity is exceptionally low, hovering around 12%. The application finishes on Monday morning. By Wednesday afternoon (over 50 hours later), the contractor wants to apply the epoxy tie-coat. To verify readiness, you perform the ASTM D4752 MEK solvent rub test. After 50 double rubs, the primer utterly fails; it easily transfers onto the cheesecloth, exposing the bare steel profile (a rating of 1). The contractor supervisor is furious, blaming the manufacturer for sending "defective paint that won't dry because the solvent flashed off too fast." As the Level 3 Inspector, what is your scientific assessment, and how do you remediate the situation?

Answer:

- **Reject the "Defective Paint" Claim:** State clearly that the coating formulation is likely fine; the failure

is entirely due to the environmental conditions preventing the chemical cure.

- **Explain IOZ Curing Mechanics:** Explain that solvent-borne Inorganic Zinc (which utilizes an ethyl silicate binder) does not cure merely by solvent evaporation. It strictly requires atmospheric moisture to undergo a complex chemical cross-linking reaction called **hydrolysis and polycondensation**.
- **Identify the Root Cause (Moisture Starvation):** In a desert environment with 12% RH, the coating is starved of its catalyst (water vapor). It has "dried to touch" as the solvent evaporated, but it has completely failed to chemically cross-link, leaving it with zero cohesive strength against the MEK solvent.
- **Provide the Remediation Plan:** Instruct the contractor to artificially introduce moisture to "force cure" the primer. They must lightly and repeatedly mist the primed surface with clean, **potable water**. They must wait, and then repeat the **ASTM D4752** MEK rub test until the coating achieves a passing rating of 4 or 5.

[Pressure Test Follow-up]

Let's assume the contractor successfully misted the steel and the MEK rub test passed. However, prior to the epoxy topcoat application, you notice a powdery, white substance covering large sections of the zinc primer.

What is this substance, and what specific action must happen before topcoating?

The powdery white substance is zinc salts, universally known as White Rust. Because it is highly friable and acts as a severe bond-breaker, it must be completely removed—typically via pressure washing, stiff bristle brushing, or a light sweep blast—before the epoxy topcoat can be applied.